arXiv:0806.4183v4 [gr-qc] 12 Jul 2010

Instanton representation of Plebanski gravity XXII. Minisuperspace quantization of gravity coupled to spin 1/2 fermionic fields

Eyo Eyo Ita III

July 13, 2010

Department of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics
Centre for Mathematical Sciences, University of Cambridge, Wilberforce Road
Cambridge CB3 0WA, United Kingdom
eei20@cam.ac.uk

Abstract

In this paper we carry out a quantization of gravity coupled to massless spin 1/2 fermions through the instanton representation of Plebanski gravity. We have constructed a Hilbert space of states for this model, and we have computed the Hamilton's equations of motion. The classical equations appear, at a superficial level, to be consistent with the quantum dynamics of the theory.

1 Introduction

It has been shown in [1] that the instanton representation of Plebanski gravity exhibits a Hilbert space of harmonic oscillator coherent states. In the present paper we will extend the construction of the states to include coupling to a massless spin 1/2 fermionic field. The classical and quantum dynamics associated with fermions coupled to gravity is a subject of interest, since such coupling is relevant to the Standard Model. Since the instanton representation uses the self-dual $SO(3,C)$ connection A^a_i, and this connection couples directly to the fermionic field via minimal coupling, then it follows that the connection can only couple to left handed fermions. The purpose of this paper will be to quantize the coupled theory and construct its Hilbert space. We will limit ourselves to minisuperspa for simplicity, by this we mean that all quantities are will be spatially homogeneous and depend only on time. The organization of this paper is as follows. Section 2 defines the coupled constraints and performs a reduction to minisuperspace. Likewise in section 3 we reduce the canonical structure of the coupled theory to minisuperspace. Due to the configuration of the connection used and some properties unique to fermions in the instanton representation, the canonical structure conspires to produce globally holonomic coordinates on configuration space. This enables the quantization and subsequent construction of the Hilbert space, which we carry out in section 4. In section 5 we write down the Hamilton's equations of motion, verifying consistency with the gravitational state labels previously defined. Index conventions for this paper use Latin symbols $a,b,c,\dots$ from the beginning part of the Latin alphabet to denote internal indices, and symbols $i,j,k,\dots$ from the middle to denote spatial indices. The mass dimensions for various quantities of interest are $[Q_d]=3$ and $[A^a_i]=1$, where Q_d is the fermionic $SO(3,C)$ charge as well as $[\Psi_{ae}]=2$, where Ψ_{ae} is the CDJ matrix.

2 Reduction of the constraints to minisuperspace

The 3+1 decomposition of the action for GR in Ashtekar variables coupled to a massless left-handed spin $\frac{1}{2}$ fermionic field ψ^A is given by [2]

$$\begin{aligned} S = \int dt \int_\Sigma d^3x \frac{1}{G}\widetilde{\sigma}^i_a \dot{A}^a_i + \pi_A \dot{\psi}^A \\ -N(\det\widetilde{\sigma})^{-1/2}\big(\frac{1}{G}H_{grav} + H_{Ferm}\big) \\ -N^i\big(\frac{1}{G}(H_{grav})_i + (H_{Ferm})_i\big) - A^a_0\big(\frac{1}{G}G_a + Q_a\big), \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $(A^a_i, \widetilde{\sigma}^i_a)$ are the Ashtekar connection and the densitized triad, and (ψ^A, π_A) are the left-handed fermionic field and its conjugate momentum. The initial value constraints are smeared by auxilliary fields (N, N^i, A^a_0), respectively the lapse function, shift vector and $SU(2)_-$ rotation angle. The gravitational contribution to the Hamiltonian constraint H_{grav} is given by

$$H_{grav} = \frac{\Lambda}{3}\epsilon_{ijk}\epsilon^{abc}\widetilde{\sigma}^i_a\widetilde{\sigma}^j_b\widetilde{\sigma}^k_c + \epsilon_{ijk}\epsilon^{abc}\widetilde{\sigma}^i_a\widetilde{\sigma}^j_b B^k_c, \tag{2}$$

where Λ is the cosmological constant. The matter contribution to the Hamiltonian constraint is given by [2]

$$H_{Ferm} = \pi_A(\tau_a)^A_B\widetilde{\sigma}^i_a D_i\psi^B \tag{3}$$

where $(\tau_a)^A_B$ are the Pauli matrices and D_i is the gauge covariant derivative in the fundamental representation of left-handed $SU(2)_-$. The diffeomorphism constraint is given by

$$H_i = \frac{1}{G}\epsilon_{ijk}\widetilde{\sigma}^j_a B^k_a + \pi_A(D_i\psi)^A = 0, \tag{4}$$

which in the full theory possesses a matter contribution containing spatial gradients. The Gauss' law constraint is given by

$$\frac{1}{G}D_i\widetilde{\sigma}^i_a + Q_a = 0, \tag{5}$$

where $(D_i)^a_c = \delta^{ac}\partial_i + f^{abc}A^b_j$ is the $SU(2)_-$ covariant derivative with structure constants f_{abc} and Q_a is the fermionic $SU(2)_-$ charge, given by

$$Q_a = \pi_A(\tau_a\psi)^A. \tag{6}$$

We will now perform a reduction to minisuperspace,[1] in conjunction with transforming the gravitational variables of the starting action (1) into the instanton representation of Plebanski gravity. We will perform this transformation using the CDJ Ansatz

$$\widetilde{\sigma}^i_a = \Psi_{ae} B^i_e, \tag{7}$$

where Ψ_{ae} is the CDJ matrix, which takes its values in $SU(2)_- \otimes SU(2)_-$. The Ashtekar magnetic field B^i_a is related to the connection A^a_i via

$$B^i_a = \epsilon^{ijk}\partial_j A^a_k + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ijk} f_{abc} A^b_j A^c_k = \epsilon^{ijk}\partial_j A^a_k + (\text{det}A)(A^{-1})^a_i, \tag{8}$$

where we have used the fact that the structure constants $f_{abc} = \epsilon_{abc}$ for $SU(2)_-$, the gauge group for the self-dual Ashtekar variables, are numerically the same as the 3-D epsilon symbol. For minisuperspace as defined, we set the spatial gradients in (8) to zero obtaining

$$B^i_a = (\text{det}A)(A^{-1})^a_i; \quad \text{det}B = (\text{det}A)^2; \quad C_{ae} = A^a_i B^i_e = \delta_{ae}(\text{det}A). \tag{9}$$

We will assume for the purposes of this paper that $(\text{det}A) \neq 0$.

2.1 Reduction of the kinematic constraints

The kinematic initial value constraints are the Gauss' law and the diffeomorphism constraints (G_a, H_i). Substituting (7) into (4), we obtain for the diffeomorphism constraint in the presence of fermionic fields the following

$$\epsilon_{ijk} B^j_a B^k_e \Psi_{ae} + G(H_{Ferm})_i = 0. \tag{10}$$

For nondegenerate B^i_a, which we assume in this paper, the antisymmetric combination of magnetic fields can be replaced with their inverse by using the properties of determinants of 3 by 3 matrices. Defining $\psi_d = \epsilon_{dae}\Psi_{ae}$ as the antisymmetric part of the CDJ matrix, (10) can be written as

$$(\text{det}B)(B^{-1})^d_i \psi_d + G(H_{Ferm})_i = 0, \tag{11}$$

which enables us to solve for ψ_d as

---

[1] Our definition of minisuperspace is that all dynamical variables are spatially constant, which is obtained by setting all spatial gradients of the variables to zero. We do not use [3], which entails the introduction of Bianchi groups in the definition of minisuperspace.

$$\psi_d = -G(\text{det}B)^{-1}B^i_d(H_{Ferm})_i. \tag{12}$$

The matter contribution to the diffeomorphism constraint $(H_{Ferm})_i$ can in turn be written as

$$(H_{Ferm})_i = \pi_A(D_i\psi)^A = \pi_A(\partial_i\psi)^A + A^a_i(\tau_a)^A_B\pi_A\psi^B = \pi_A\partial_i\psi^A + A^a_iQ_a, \tag{13}$$

Setting $\pi_A\partial_i\psi^A = 0$ for minisuperspace and substituting (13) into (12), we have

$$\psi_d = -G(\text{det}B)^{-1}B^i_dA^a_iQ_a = -G(\text{det}B)^{-1}C_{da}Q_a = -G(\text{det}A)^{-1}Q_d \tag{14}$$

where we have also used $C_{ae} = \delta_{ae}(\text{det}A)$ from (9) as well as $C^T_{ad} = C_{da}$.

To obtain the Gauss' law constraint in the new variables we substitute the CDJ Ansatz (7) into (5), yielding

$$D_i\widetilde{\sigma}^i_a = \partial_i(\Psi_{ae}B^i_a) + f_{abc}A^b_iB^i_e\Psi_{ae} = -GQ_a. \tag{15}$$

Setting $\partial_i(\Psi_{ae}B^i_a) = 0$ for minisuperspace and including the matter contribution, then this reduces to

$$f_{abc}A^b_iB^i_e\Psi_{ae} = f_{aec}\Psi_{ae}(\text{det}A) = -GQ_c \tag{16}$$

where we have used (9). Recalling the definition $\psi_d = \epsilon_{dae}\Psi_{ae}$ for the antisymmetric part of Ψ_{ae}, (16) yields

$$\psi_d = -G(\text{det}A)^{-1}Q_d. \tag{17}$$

Comparison of (17) with (14) shows that in minisuperspace, the Gauss' law and the diffeomorphism constraints are redundant. The result is that in minisuperspace the kinematic constraints combined can only reduce the degrees of freedom of the CDJ matrix by three and not six.

2.2 Reduction of the Hamiltonian constraint

We are now ready to transfrom the Hamiltonian constraint into the instanton representation and reduce it to minisuperspace. Substituting (7) into (2), we have

$$(\text{det}B)\big(\frac{1}{2}Var\Psi+\Lambda\text{det}\Psi\big)+GH_{Ferm}=0 \tag{18}$$

where we have defined $Var\Psi=(\text{tr}\Psi)^2-\text{tr}\Psi^2$. Using (9) for minisuperspace, this reduces to

$$(\text{det}A)^2\big(\frac{1}{2}Var\Psi+\Lambda\text{det}\Psi\big)+GH_{Ferm}=0. \tag{19}$$

From (3) we have the fermionic contribution

$$H_{Ferm}=\pi_A(\tau_a)^A_B\widetilde{\sigma}^i_aD_i\psi^B. \tag{20}$$

Substituting (7) into (20) we have

$$\begin{aligned}H_{Ferm}=\pi_A(\tau_a)^A_B\widetilde{\sigma}^i_aD_i\psi^B&=\pi_A(\tau_a)^A_B\Psi_{ae}B^i_e(D_i\psi)^B\\&=\Psi_{ae}\pi_A(\tau_a)^A_BB^i_e\big(\partial_i\psi^B+A^f_i(\tau_f)^B_C\psi^C\big),\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

where the covariant derivative acts on left handed spinors. Continuing the expansion we have

$$H_{Ferm}=\Psi_{ae}\pi_A(\tau_a)^A_B\mathbf{v}_e\{\psi^B\}+\Psi_{ae}C^T_{fe}\pi_A(\tau_a\tau_f)^A_C\psi^C, \tag{22}$$

where $C_{fe}=A^f_iB^i_e$, and we have defined the vector field $\mathbf{v}_e=B^i_a\partial_i$. To evaluate the product of Pauli matrices we make use of the identity

$$(\tau_a\tau_f)^A_C=\{\tau_a,\tau_f\}^A_C+[\tau_a,\tau_f]^A_C=\delta_{af}\delta^A_C+i\epsilon_{afd}(\tau_d)^A_C. \tag{23}$$

Putting (23) into (22) we have

$$H_{Ferm}=\Psi_{ae}\pi_A(\tau_a)^A_B\mathbf{v}_e\{\psi^B\}+C^T_{fe}\Psi_{ae}\pi_A\big(\delta_{af}\delta^A_C+i\epsilon_{afd}(\tau_d)^A_C\big)\psi^C. \tag{24}$$

Making the definitions

$$Q=\pi_A\psi^A;\quad Q_d=\pi_A(\tau_d\psi)^A, \tag{25}$$

and setting spatial gradients to zero for minisuperspace we have

$$H_{Ferm}=C_{fe}\big(\Psi_{fe}Q+i\epsilon_{afd}\Psi_{ae}Q_d\big). \tag{26}$$

Upon use of $C_{be} = \delta_{be}(\text{det}A) = C^T_{eb}$ and (16) we obtain

$$H_{Ferm} = (\text{det}A)(\text{tr}\Psi)Q - iGQ_dQ_d. \tag{27}$$

Note the cancellation of the factor of $(\text{det}A)$ in the second term of (27). The matter charges are given by

$$Q_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \pi_1 & \pi_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi^1 \\ \psi^2 \end{pmatrix} = \pi_1\psi^2 + \pi_2\psi^1$$

$$Q_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \pi_1 & \pi_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi^1 \\ \psi^2 \end{pmatrix} = i(-\pi_1\psi^2 + \pi_2\psi^1)$$

$$Q_3 = \begin{pmatrix} \pi_1 & \pi_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi^1 \\ \psi^2 \end{pmatrix} = \pi_1\psi^1 - \pi_2\psi^2,$$

where we have used the normal-ordering convention of momenta to the left of the coordinates for the fermionic fields. Also, we will use the anticommutative relations for Grassman numbers ξ, ψ that

$$\chi\psi = -\psi\chi. \tag{28}$$

We also have

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} \pi_1 & \pi_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi^1 \\ \psi^2 \end{pmatrix} = \pi_1\psi^1 + \pi_2\psi^2.$$

From these relations and conventions one sees that the square of all components of the charges Q, Q_d are the same

$$Q_1Q_1 = Q_2Q_2 = Q_3Q_3 = -2(\pi_1\psi^1)(\pi_2\psi^2) = -Q^2. \tag{29}$$

Hence using (29) in (27), we see that the fermionic contribution to the Hamiltonian constraint, multiplying a factor of G, is given by

$$GH_{Ferm} = (\text{det}A)(\text{tr}\Psi)(GQ) + 3i(GQ)^2. \tag{30}$$

The CDJ matrix can be parametrized using its irreducible parts under internal rotations

$$\Psi_{ae} = \delta_{ae}\varphi_e + S_{ae} + \epsilon_{aed}\psi_d, \tag{31}$$

where S_{ae} are the off-diagonal symmetric (shear) elements. Recall that the diffeomorphism and the Gauss' law constraints fix only three out of six elements of Ψ_{ae}, namely ψ_d. Hence after implementation of the kinematic constraints this leaves remaining three freely specifiable elements S_{ae}. For this paper we will choose $S_{ae}=0$ for simplicity, leaving

$$\Psi_{ae}=\delta_{ae}\varphi_e+\epsilon_{aed}\psi_d=\begin{pmatrix}\varphi_1 & \psi_3 & -\psi_2\\ -\psi_3 & \varphi_2 & \psi_1\\ \psi_2 & -\psi_1 & \varphi_3\end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence upon implementation of the kinematic constraints, (18) amounts to a condition on the invariants of Ψ_{ae}, which can be written in terms of the diagonal elements φ_f. The invariants are given by

$$Var\Psi=(\mathrm{tr}\Psi)^2-\mathrm{tr}\Psi^2=2\Big(\varphi_1\varphi_2+\varphi_2\varphi_3+\varphi_3\varphi_1+(\psi_1)^2+(\psi_2)^2+(\psi_3)^2\Big)\tag{32}$$

for the variance, and

$$\mathrm{det}\Psi=\varphi_1\varphi_2\varphi_3+\varphi_1(\psi_1)^2+\varphi_2(\psi_2)^2+\varphi_3(\psi_3)^2\tag{33}$$

for the determinant. Substituting (17) into (32) and (33), we obtain the following

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}Var\Psi&=\varphi_1\varphi_2+\varphi_2\varphi_3+\varphi_3\varphi_1-3(GQ)^2(\mathrm{det}A)^{-2};\\ \mathrm{det}\Psi&=\varphi_1\varphi_2\varphi_3-(\varphi_1+\varphi_2+\varphi_3)(GQ)^2(\mathrm{det}A)^{-2}\end{aligned}\tag{34}$$

where we have used (29). Substituting (34) and (30) into (19) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathrm{det}A)^2\Big[&\varphi_1\varphi_2+\varphi_2\varphi_3+\varphi_3\varphi_1-3(GQ)^2(\mathrm{det}A)^{-2}\\ &+\Lambda\big(\varphi_1\varphi_2\varphi_3-(\varphi_1+\varphi_2+\varphi_3)(GQ)^2(\mathrm{det}A)^{-2}\big)\Big]\\ &+(\varphi_1+\varphi_2+\varphi_3)(\mathrm{det}A)(GQ)+3i(GQ)^2=0.\end{aligned}\tag{35}$$

Next, we define densitized gravitational momentum space variables by

$$\widetilde{\varphi}_f=\varphi_f(\mathrm{det}A)\tag{36}$$

for $f=1,2,3$, with mass dimension $[\widetilde{\varphi}]=1$. Then the Hamiltonian constraint can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned}\Big[\big(\widetilde{\varphi}_1\widetilde{\varphi}_2+\widetilde{\varphi}_2\widetilde{\varphi}_3+\widetilde{\varphi}_3\widetilde{\varphi}_1\big)+(\widetilde{\varphi}_1+\widetilde{\varphi}_2+\widetilde{\varphi}_3)(GQ)+3(i-1)(GQ)^2\Big]&\\ +\Lambda(\mathrm{det}A)^{-1}\Big(\widetilde{\varphi}_1\widetilde{\varphi}_2\widetilde{\varphi}_3-(\widetilde{\varphi}_1+\widetilde{\varphi}_2+\widetilde{\varphi}_3)(GQ)^2\Big)&=0.\end{aligned}\tag{37}$$

We will now determine the canonical structure of the theory in preparation for quantization. Since the Hamiltonian constraint depends only on the invariants of Ψ_{ae} and we have reduced this to the diagonal elements φ_f, then it follows without loss of generality that we can identify φ_f with the eigenvalues of $\Psi_{(ae)}$, the symmetric part of Ψ_{ae}.

3 Reduction of the canonical structure

We have thus far performed a transformation of the gravitational variables into the instanton representation of Plebanski gravity, in conjunction with a reduction into minisuperspace. To determine the canonical structure of the theory we must do the same for the canonical one form

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}=\int_{\Sigma}d^3x\Bigl(\frac{1}{G}\widetilde{\sigma}^i_a\delta A^a_i+\pi_A\delta\psi^A\Bigr). \tag{38}$$

Substituting (7) in conjunction with (9) into (38), we obtain for the gravitational contribution that

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}_{Grav}=\frac{1}{G}\int_{\Sigma}d^3x\Psi_{ae}B^i_e\delta A^a_i=\frac{l^3}{G}\Psi_{ae}(\text{det}A)(A^{-1})^i_e\delta A^a_i, \tag{39}$$

where l is the characteristic length scale of the universe, which arises from integration of the spatially homogeneous variables over 3-space Σ. Equation (39) can be written as

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}_{Grav}=\frac{l^3}{G}\Psi_{(ae)}(\text{det}A)(A^{-1})^i_e\delta A^a_i+\frac{l^3}{G}\Psi_{[ae]}(\text{det}A)(A^{-1})^i_e\delta A^a_i, \tag{40}$$

which separates the CDJ matrix into its symmetric and its antisymmetric parts. We will implement the diffeomorphism and Gauss' law constraints at the level of (40). There are two parts, one part symmetric in Ψ_{ae} and the other part antisymmetric. We will first focus on the antisymmetric part, which will require use of (17), requoted here

$$\epsilon_{dae}\Psi_{ae}=-GQ_d(\text{det}A)^{-1}. \tag{41}$$

Substituting (41) into the second term on the right hand side of (40), we have

$$\frac{l^3}{G}\bigl(\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{aed}\epsilon_{dbf}\Psi_{bf}\bigr)(\text{det}A)(A^{-1})^i_e\delta A^a_i=-\frac{l^3}{2}\epsilon_{dae}Q_d(A^{-1})^i_e\delta A^a_i. \tag{42}$$

There is no configuration space coordinate corresponding to $(A^{-1})^i_{[e}\delta A^a_{i]}$, since it is not an exact functional one form, which presents an obstruction to quantization. However, if we restrict attention to a diagonal connection $A^a_i=\delta^a_iA^a_a$, then (42) reduces to

$$-\frac{l^3}{2}\epsilon_{dae}Q_d\delta^i_e\Bigl(\frac{1}{A^a_e}\Bigr)\delta^a_i\delta A^a_a=-\frac{l^3}{2}\delta_{ae}\epsilon_{aed}Q_d\Bigl(\frac{\delta A^a_a}{A^e_e}\Bigr)=0, \tag{43}$$

which vanishes on account of antisymmetry of ϵ_{aed}. Hence for a diagonal connection, the second term on the right hand side of (40) vanishes, leaving the first term evaluated on a diagonal connection. It then remains to show that the $\Psi_{(ae)}$ contribution is in fact quantizable.

Define densitized gravitational momentum space variables $\widetilde{\Psi}_{ae} = \Psi_{ae}(\text{det}A)$. Without loss of generality we can choose a diagonal CDJ matrix and identify the diagonal elements with the eigenvalues φ_f, simplifying (40) to

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}_{Grav} = \frac{l^3}{G}\widetilde{\Psi}_{aa}(A^{-1})^i_a\delta A^a_i \equiv \frac{l^3}{G}\widetilde{\varphi}_a(A^{-1})^i_a\delta A^a_i. \tag{44}$$

For a diagonal connection $A^a_i = \delta^a_i A^a_a$, the following relations can be written

$$(A^{-1})^i_1\delta A^1_i = \frac{\delta A^1_1}{A^1_1};\;\; (A^{-1})^i_2\delta A^2_i = \frac{\delta A^2_2}{A^2_2};\;\; (A^{-1})^i_3\delta A^3_i = \frac{\delta A^3_3}{A^3_3}. \tag{45}$$

Equation (39) implies the existence of dimensionless holonomic configuration space coordinates (X, Y, T) with

$$X = \ln\Bigl(\frac{A^1_1}{a_0}\Bigr);\;\; Y = \ln\Bigl(\frac{A^2_2}{a_0}\Bigr);\;\; T = \ln\Bigl(\frac{A^1_1A^2_2A^3_3}{a^3_0}\Bigr), \tag{46}$$

where a_0 is a mass scale. The matter contribution to the canonical one form is given by

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}_{Ferm} = \int_\Sigma d^3x\pi_A\delta\psi^A = l^3\pi_A\delta\psi^A. \tag{47}$$

In order that this be dimensionless this implies that the mass dimensions of the matter fields are $[\pi_A] = [\psi^A] = \frac{3}{2}$.

Hence the total symplectic two form is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{\omega} &= \frac{l^3}{G}\delta\varphi_a \wedge \delta(\ln\Bigl(\frac{A^a_a}{a_0}\Bigr)) + l^3\delta\pi_A \wedge \delta\psi^A \\ &= l^3\delta\Bigl(\frac{1}{G}\varphi_a\delta(\ln\Bigl(\frac{A^a_a}{a_0}\Bigr)) + \pi_A\delta\psi^A\Bigr).\end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

Defining $\frac{l^3}{G} \equiv \frac{1}{\mu}$, where $[\mu] = 1$, we see that (48) implies the following nonvanishing Poisson brackets

$$\{\ln\Bigl(\frac{A^f_f}{a_0}\Bigr), \widetilde{\varphi}_g\} = \mu\delta^f_g;\;\; \{\psi^A, \pi_B\} = \frac{1}{l^3}\delta^A_B, \tag{49}$$

which take into account the anticommutative nature of the fermionic variables. Prior to quantization we will perform the following change to dimensionless gravitational momentum space variables

$$a_3 = \frac{1}{\mu}\widetilde{\varphi}_3; \quad a_1 = \frac{1}{\mu}(\widetilde{\varphi}_1 - \widetilde{\varphi}_3); \quad a_2 = \frac{1}{\mu}(\widetilde{\varphi}_2 - \widetilde{\varphi}_3), \tag{50}$$

Comparison with (49) fixes the canonically conjugate configuration variables to a_1, a_2 and a_3 respectively as X, Y and T. Upon quantization the gravitational momentum space variables will take on the following Schrödinger representation

$$a_1 \longrightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial X}; \quad a_2 \longrightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}; \quad a_3 \longrightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial T}. \tag{51}$$

We will identify a_f as the annihilation operators for three uncoupled simple harmonic oscillators. Then from equation (51) when one makes the following identifications upon quantization

$$X \longrightarrow a_1^\dagger; \quad Y \longrightarrow a_2^\dagger; \quad T \longrightarrow a_3^\dagger, \tag{52}$$

this fixes the representation space for the gravitational variables according to the Bargmann representation on holomorphic functions.

Having identified globally holonomic coordinates for the gravitational and the matter variables, we can now write a symplectic two form which is an exact variation of the corresponding canonical one form

$$\boldsymbol{\omega} = \delta a_f \wedge \delta a_f^* + l^3 \delta\pi_A \wedge \delta\psi^A = \delta\boldsymbol{\theta}, \tag{53}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\theta} = \boldsymbol{\theta}_{Ferm} + \boldsymbol{\theta}_{Grav}$. To obtain the Hamiltonian constraint in the oscillator variables we must first invert (50)

$$\widetilde{\varphi}_1 = \mu(a_1 + a_3); \quad \varphi_2 = \mu(a_2 + a_3); \quad \varphi_3 = \mu a_3, \tag{54}$$

and substitute (54) into (37). This yields a Hamiltonian constraint of

$$\begin{aligned} &3\mu^2\big(a_3 a_3 + \frac{2}{3}(a_1 + a_2)a_3 + \frac{1}{3}a_1 a_2\big) + 3\mu(GQ)\big(a_3 + \frac{1}{3}(a_1 + a_2)\big) \\ +3(i-1)(GQ)^2 + \frac{\Lambda}{a_0^3}e^{-a_3^*}&\Big[\mu^3 a_3(a_3 + a_1)(a_3 + a_2) - 3\mu(GQ)^2\big(a_3 + \frac{1}{3}(a_1 + a_2)\big)\Big] = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

4 Quantization and construction of the Hilbert space

The gravitational variables a_f upon quantization will become promoted to operators satisfying commutation relations

$$[\hat{a}_f, \hat{a}_g^\dagger] = \delta_{fg}, \tag{56}$$

where $\hat{a}_f^\dagger$ is the quantum analogue of a_f^*, the complex conjugate of a_f. This implies that $\hat{a}_f$ and $\hat{a}_f^\dagger$ respectively are annihilation and creation operators for a triple of uncoupled simple harmonic oscillators. Each harmonic oscillator comes equipped with a ground state $\big|0\big\rangle$ such that

$$a_f\big|0\big\rangle = 0 \tag{57}$$

for $f = 1, 2, 3$. Define coherent states as eigenstates of the annihilation operators

$$\hat{a}_1\big|\alpha\big\rangle = \alpha\big|\alpha\big\rangle; \quad \hat{a}_2\big|\beta\big\rangle = \beta\big|\beta\big\rangle; \quad \hat{a}_3\big|\lambda\big\rangle = \lambda\big|\lambda\big\rangle. \tag{58}$$

Equation (58) are also Perelomov coherent states in the sense that they correspond to displacement of the 'vacuum' state $\big|0\big\rangle$ into a complex two-dimensional space $(\alpha, \beta) \in C_2$, which plays the role of the coset manifold of the complexified Heisenberg group. The displacement operators act via

$$\big|\alpha\big\rangle = e^{\alpha a_1^\dagger - \alpha^* a_1}\big|0\big\rangle; \quad \big|\beta\big\rangle = e^{\beta a_2^\dagger - \beta^* a_2}\big|0\big\rangle; \quad \big|\lambda\big\rangle = e^{\lambda a_3^\dagger}\big|0\big\rangle. \tag{59}$$

We have singled out a_3 from a_1 and a_2, which implies that we will not be performing a normalization with respect to $\big|\lambda\big\rangle$. This is because we will associate a_3 to a time variable on the gravitational phase space, and one does not normalize a wavefunction in time. The states (59) will form the basis of the gravitational part of the Hilbert space of the coupled system.

Since the matter variables (ψ^A, π_A) are Grassman numbers, they will upon quantization satisfy equal-time anticommutation relations

$$[\hat{\psi}^A, \hat{\pi}_B]_+ = l^{-3}\delta^A_B. \tag{60}$$

The matter operators have a Schrödinger representation

$$\hat{\pi}_A = l^{-3}\frac{\partial}{\partial\psi^A}; \quad G\hat{Q} = l^{-3}\Big(\psi^1\frac{\partial}{\partial\psi^1} + \psi^2\frac{\partial}{\partial\psi^2}\Big). \tag{61}$$

For the matter part of the state, the most general left-handed fermionic state is polynomial in Grassman numbers

$$\Phi = c_0 + c_1\psi^1 + c_2\psi^2 + c_{12}\psi^1\psi^2. \tag{62}$$

The highest order constructible from 2-component left handed spinors is bilinear due to the anticommuting property of Grassman numbers. Therefore there are four orthonormal basis states

$$\big|\phi_0\big\rangle \equiv 1; \quad \big|\phi_1\big\rangle \equiv \psi^1; \quad \big|\phi_2\big\rangle \equiv \psi^2; \quad \big|\phi_3\big\rangle \equiv \psi^1\psi^2, \tag{63}$$

namely one empty state and one two-fermion state, and two single fermion states. Note that each $\big|\phi_m\big\rangle$ is an eigenstate of the matter charge operator

$$G\hat{Q}\big|\phi_0\big\rangle = 0; \quad G\hat{Q}\big|\phi_1\big\rangle = \big|\phi_1\big\rangle; \quad G\hat{Q}\big|\phi_2\big\rangle = \big|\phi_2\big\rangle; \quad G\hat{Q}\big|\phi_3\big\rangle = 2\big|\phi_3\big\rangle, \tag{64}$$

where the fermion number is the eigenvalue, denoted by $k = (0, 1, 2)$. The fermionic states are orthogonal

$$\big\langle\phi_i\big|\phi_j\big\rangle = \delta_{ij}. \tag{65}$$

To quantize the Hamiltonian constraint we promote the dynamical variables to operators in (55). Then dividing by $3\mu^2$ yields the dimensionless equation

$$\Big[\hat{a}_3\hat{a}_3 + \frac{2}{3}(\hat{a}_1 + \hat{a}_2)\hat{a}_3 + \frac{1}{3}\hat{a}_1\hat{a}_2 + \Big(\frac{G\hat{Q}}{\mu}\Big)\big(\hat{a}_3 + \frac{1}{3}(\hat{a}_1 + \hat{a}_2)\big) + (i-1)\Big(\frac{G\hat{Q}}{\mu}\Big)^2$$
$$+\Big(\frac{\mu\Lambda}{3a_0^3}\Big)\Big[\hat{a}_3(\hat{a}_3 + \hat{a}_1)(\hat{a}_3 + \hat{a}_2) - 3\big(\hat{a}_3 + \frac{1}{3}(\hat{a}_1 + \hat{a}_2)\big)\Big(\frac{G\hat{Q}}{\mu}\Big)^2\Big]e^{-\hat{a}_3^\dagger}\Big]\boldsymbol{\psi} = 0. \tag{66}$$

Note that (59) and (63) are eigenstates of the Hamiltonian constraint operator, hence we can find $\boldsymbol{\psi} \in Ker\{\hat{H}\}$ by considering states of the form

$$\boldsymbol{\psi} = \big|\alpha, \beta\big\rangle \otimes \big|\phi_i\big\rangle \otimes \chi(T) \tag{67}$$

where $\big|\alpha, \beta\big\rangle = \big|\alpha\big\rangle \otimes \big|\beta\big\rangle$, and $\big|\phi\big\rangle$ is one of the terms from (62), and χ is annihilated by a_1 and a_2, but not by a_3. We will replace the action of $\hat{a}_1$, $\hat{a}_2$ and $G\hat{Q}$ in (66) on the state by their eigenvalues α, β and k, leaving intact the action of $\hat{a}_3$ and $\hat{a}_3^\dagger$ on χ since these will be associated to time variables. The quantum Hamiltonian constraint then reduces to

$$\Big[\hat{a}_3\hat{a}_3 + \frac{2}{3}(\alpha+\beta)\hat{a}_3 + \frac{1}{3}\alpha\beta + k\big(\hat{a}_3 + \frac{1}{3}(\alpha+\beta)\big) + k^2(i-1)$$

$$+\Big(\frac{\mu\Lambda}{3a_0^3}\Big)\Big[\hat{a}_3(\hat{a}_3+\alpha)(\hat{a}_3+\beta) - 3k^2\big(\hat{a}_3 + \frac{1}{3}(\alpha+\beta)\big)\Big]e^{-a_3^\dagger}\Big]\boldsymbol{\psi} = 0. \qquad (68)$$

We will define the following Schrödinger representation for a_3, since we have singled it out as special

$$\hat{a}_3\chi(T) = \frac{\partial}{\partial T}\chi(T); \quad \hat{a}_3^\dagger\chi(T) = T\chi(T), \qquad (69)$$

where $\chi(T)$ is in the holomorphic representation of T. Define the following polynomials

$$q^2 + \big(k + \frac{2}{3}(\alpha+\beta)\big)q + \frac{1}{3}\alpha\beta + \frac{k}{3}(\alpha+\beta) + k^2(i-1) = (q+m_-)(q+m_+);$$

$$q^3 + (\alpha+\beta)q^2 + (\alpha\beta - 3k^2)q - k^2(\alpha+\beta) = (q+j_-)(q+j_0)(q+j_+), (70)$$

where $m_\pm$ and j_-, j_0 and j_+ are the corresponding roots. Note that these roots are labelled by α, β and k, the same quantities that label the gravitational and matter basis wavefunctions. Also define the dimensionless constant r, given by

$$r = \Big(\frac{\mu\Lambda}{3a_0^3}\Big). \qquad (71)$$

Then the quantum Hamiltonian constraint is given by

$$\Big[(\hat{a}_3+m_-)(\hat{a}_3+m_+) + r(\hat{a}_3+j_-)(\hat{a}_3+j_0)(\hat{a}_3+j_+)e^{\hat{a}_3^\dagger}\Big]\boldsymbol{\psi} = 0. \qquad (72)$$

Additionally, define the dimensionless variable z, where

$$\frac{1}{r}e^{a_3^\dagger} = \frac{1}{r}e^T \equiv z \longrightarrow \hat{a}_3 = \frac{\partial}{\partial T} = z\frac{d}{dz}. \qquad (73)$$

Then the Hamiltonian constraint reduces to the following ordinary differential equation in z

$$\Big[\Big(z\frac{d}{dz}+m_-\Big)\Big(z\frac{d}{dz}+m_+\Big)z + \Big(z\frac{d}{dz}+j_-\Big)\Big(z\frac{d}{dz}+j_0\Big)\Big(z\frac{d}{dz}+j_+\Big)\Big]\chi(z) = 0. (74)$$

Using the identity

$$z\frac{d}{dz}(zF) = z\Big(z\frac{d}{dz} + 1\Big)F, \tag{75}$$

we can commute the variable z to the left, resulting in the following diffferential equation

$$\Big[z\Big(z\frac{d}{dz} + m_- + 1\Big)\Big(z\frac{d}{dz} + m_+ + 1\Big) + \Big(z\frac{d}{dz} + j_-\Big)\Big(z\frac{d}{dz} + j_0\Big)\Big(z\frac{d}{dz} + j_+\Big)\Big]\chi(z) = 0. \tag{76}$$

Equation (76) is the hypergeometric differential equation, with solution

$$\chi(z) = {}_3F_3(1, m_- + 1, m_+ + 1; j_-, j_0, j_+; z). \tag{77}$$

The quantum state then is given by

$$\boldsymbol{\psi} = \boldsymbol{\psi}_{\alpha,\beta,k}(T) = \big|\alpha, \beta\big\rangle \otimes \big|\phi_k\big\rangle \otimes \chi(T), \tag{78}$$

which χ given by (77). The wavefunctions corresponding to (78) are convergent, owing to the convergent properties of the hypergeometric function ${}_3F_3$, and are also normalizable.

5 Classical dynamics

Having found the Hilbert space solving the quantum Hamiltonian constraint, we will now check for consistency with the classical dynamics. In particular, we would like to see if the gravitational coherent states are preserved under fermionic coupling during the time evolution. To do this we will transform the gravitational variables back into the Schrödinger representation and analyse the Hamiltonian dynamics. The Hamiltonian can be written in the following form

$$\boldsymbol{H} = \frac{N}{\sqrt{\text{det}\widetilde{\sigma}}}\Big(\frac{1}{G}(\text{det}B)\big(\frac{1}{2}Var\Psi + \Lambda\text{det}\Psi\big) + H_{Ferm}\Big)$$
$$= N\sqrt{\text{det}\widetilde{\sigma}}\Big(\frac{1}{(\text{det}\Psi)(\text{det}B)}\Big)\Big(\frac{1}{G}(\text{det}B)\big(\frac{1}{2}Var\Psi + \Lambda\text{det}\Psi\big) + H_{Ferm}\Big), \quad (79)$$

where we have used the CDJ Ansatz. The next step is to reduce (79) to minisuperspace using $\text{det}B = (\text{det}A)^2$, followed by densitization of the CDJ matrix

$$\widetilde{\Psi}_{ae} = (\text{det}A)\Psi_{ae}. \quad (80)$$

The result of applying these steps to (79) yields

$$\boldsymbol{H} = N(\text{det}A)\sqrt{\text{det}\Psi}\Big(\frac{1}{G}\big(\Lambda + \text{tr}\Psi^{-1}\big) + \frac{1}{\text{det}\Psi}(\text{det}A)^{-2}H_{Ferm}\Big)$$
$$= N(\text{det}A)^{-1/2}\sqrt{\text{det}\widetilde{\Psi}}\Big(\frac{1}{G}\big(\Lambda + (\text{det}A)\text{tr}\widetilde{\Psi}^{-1}\big) + \frac{(\text{det}A)}{\text{det}\widetilde{\Psi}}H_{Ferm}\Big). \quad (81)$$

Next we will bring out a factor of $(\text{det}A)$ from the large brackets in (81), and taking $\widetilde{\psi}_{ae}$ without loss of generality to be diagonal, make the definitions

$$\widetilde{\Psi}_{33} = \lambda; \quad \widetilde{\Psi}_{11} - \widetilde{\Psi}_{33} = \alpha; \quad \widetilde{\Psi}_{22} - \widetilde{\Psi}_{33} = \beta. \quad (82)$$

The variables α, β and λ have been given the suggestive symbols of the eigenvalues of the gravitational states previously constructed. But for the purposes of the present section these symbols are being used to denote classical momentum space variables.[2] Using (82), then (81) is given by

---

[2] The configuration space variables (X, Y, T) retain the same status as in the previous section.

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{H} = {} & \frac{1}{G} N a_0^{3/2} e^{T/2}\sqrt{\lambda(\lambda+\alpha)(\lambda+\beta)} \\ & \Big[\Big(\Big(\frac{\Lambda}{a_0^3}\Big)e^{-T} + \Big(\frac{1}{\lambda}+\frac{1}{\lambda+\alpha}+\frac{1}{\lambda+\beta}\Big)\Big) \\ & +\frac{1}{\lambda(\lambda+\alpha)(\lambda+\beta)}\Big((3\lambda+\alpha+\beta)(GQ)+3i(GQ)^2\Big)\Big].\end{aligned} \tag{83}$$

The Hamilton's equation of motion for the gravitational configuration variables are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{X} = G\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{H}}{\partial \alpha} = {} & N a_0^{3/2} e^{T/2}\sqrt{\lambda(\lambda+\alpha)(\lambda+\beta)}\Big[-\Big(\frac{1}{\lambda+\alpha}\Big)^2 - \frac{1}{\lambda(\lambda+\alpha)^2(\lambda+\beta)} \\ & \Big((3\lambda+\alpha+\beta)(GQ)+3i(GQ)^2\Big) + \frac{1}{\lambda(\lambda+\alpha)(\lambda+\beta)}(GQ)\Big],\end{aligned} \tag{84}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{Y} = G\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{H}}{\partial \beta} = {} & N a_0^{3/2} e^{T/2}\sqrt{\lambda(\lambda+\alpha)(\lambda+\beta)}\Big[-\Big(\frac{1}{\lambda+\beta}\Big)^2 - \frac{1}{\lambda(\lambda+\alpha)(\lambda+\beta)^2} \\ & \Big((3\lambda+\alpha+\beta)(GQ)+3i(GQ)^2\Big) + \frac{1}{\lambda(\lambda+\alpha)(\lambda+\beta)}(GQ)\Big].\end{aligned} \tag{85}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{T} = G\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{H}}{\partial \lambda} = {} & N a_0^{3/2} e^{T/2}\sqrt{\lambda(\lambda+\alpha)(\lambda+\beta)}\Big[-\Big(\Big(\frac{1}{\lambda}\Big)^2 + (\frac{1}{\lambda+\alpha}\Big)^2 + \Big(\frac{1}{\lambda+\beta}\Big)^2\Big) \\ & -\Big(\frac{1}{\lambda^2(\lambda+\alpha)(\lambda+\beta)} + \frac{1}{\lambda(\lambda+\alpha)^2(\lambda+\beta)} + \frac{1}{\lambda(\lambda+\alpha)(\lambda+\beta)^2}\Big) \\ & \Big((3\lambda+\alpha+\beta)(GQ)+3i(GQ)^2\Big) + \frac{1}{\lambda(\lambda+\alpha)(\lambda+\beta)}(GQ)\Big].\end{aligned} \tag{86}$$

Transferring the exponential to the left hand side and using the identity

$$e^{-T/2}\dot{T} = -2\frac{d}{dt}e^{-T/2}, \tag{87}$$

equation (86) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}e^{-T/2} = {} & -\frac{1}{2} N a_0^{3/2}\sqrt{\lambda(\lambda+\alpha)(\lambda+\beta)}\Big[\Big(\Big(\frac{1}{\lambda}\Big)^2 + (\frac{1}{\lambda+\alpha}\Big)^2 + \Big(\frac{1}{\lambda+\beta}\Big)^2\Big) \\ & \Big(\frac{1}{\lambda^2(\lambda+\alpha)(\lambda+\beta)} + \frac{1}{\lambda(\lambda+\alpha)^2(\lambda+\beta)} + \frac{1}{\lambda(\lambda+\alpha)(\lambda+\beta)^2}\Big) \\ & -\Big((3\lambda+\alpha+\beta)(GQ)+3i(GQ)^2\Big) - \frac{1}{\lambda(\lambda+\alpha)(\lambda+\beta)}(GQ)\Big].\end{aligned} \tag{88}$$

The Hamiltonian constraint comes from the equation of motion for N

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{H}}{\partial N}=\frac{1}{G}a_0^{3/2}e^{T/2}\sqrt{\lambda(\lambda+\alpha)(\lambda+\beta)}\\ \biggl[\Bigl(\Bigl(\frac{\Lambda}{a_0^3}\Bigr)e^{-T}+\Bigl(\frac{1}{\lambda}+\frac{1}{\lambda+\alpha}+\frac{1}{\lambda+\beta}\Bigr)\Bigr)\\ +\frac{1}{\lambda(\lambda+\alpha)(\lambda+\beta)}\Bigl((3\lambda+\alpha+\beta)(GQ)+3i(GQ)^2\Bigr)\biggr]=0,\end{aligned} \tag{89}$$

which due to nondegeneracy of the pre-factors implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{\lambda(\lambda+\alpha)(\lambda+\beta)}\Bigl((3\lambda+\alpha+\beta)(GQ)+3i(GQ)^2+\frac{G\pi^2}{2}\Bigr)\\ =-\biggl[\Bigl(\frac{\Lambda}{a_0^3}\Bigr)e^{-T}+\Bigl(\frac{1}{\lambda}+\frac{1}{\lambda+\alpha}+\frac{1}{\lambda+\beta}\Bigr)\biggr].\end{aligned} \tag{90}$$

Next we compute the Hamilton's equations of motion for the gravitational momenta. These are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{G}\dot{\alpha}=-\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{H}}{\partial X}=0;\\ \frac{1}{G}\dot{\beta}=-\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{H}}{\partial Y}=0;\\ \frac{1}{G}\dot{\lambda}=-\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{H}}{\partial T}=-\frac{1}{G}Na_0^{3/2}e^{T/2}\sqrt{\lambda(\lambda+\alpha)(\lambda+\beta)}\\ \biggl[-\Bigl(\frac{\Lambda}{a_0^3}\Bigr)e^{-T}+\Bigl(\frac{1}{\lambda}+\frac{1}{\lambda+\alpha}+\frac{1}{\lambda+\beta}\Bigr)\\ +\frac{1}{\lambda(\lambda+\alpha)(\lambda+\beta)}\Bigl((3\lambda+\alpha+\beta)(GQ)+3i(GQ)^2\Bigr)\biggr].\end{aligned} \tag{91}$$

From (91) one reads of that α and β are arbitrary complex constants, independent of time.[3] Using the Hamiltonian constraint (90), the term in large brackets in the third equation of (91) is double the first term. This reduces the equation of motion for λ to

$$\dot{\lambda}=2\Lambda a_0^{-3/2}N\sqrt{\lambda(\lambda+\alpha)(\lambda+\beta)}e^{-T/2}. \tag{92}$$

Hence in the instanton representation, all configuration space dependence within the Hamiltonian constraint is confined to T, which is a time variable. In constrast to the case for α and β, it is inappropriate to regard λ as a label corresponding to a coherent state, since it is not preserved in time by the equations of motion except when $\Lambda=0$.

---

[3] This is consistent with the preservation in time of the gravitational coherent states since from (58) and (59), α and β are directly related to the eigenvalue of the annihilation operators a_1 and a_2 on the states.

5.1 Equation of motion for the fermionic field

The equations of motion for the spin $\frac{1}{2}$ fermion are given by

$$\dot{\psi}^A = \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{H}}{\partial \pi_A} = -\frac{1}{G} N a_0^{3/2} e^{T/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda(\lambda+\alpha)(\lambda+\beta)}} G\Big((3\lambda+\alpha+\beta)+6i(GQ)\Big)\psi^A;$$
$$\dot{\pi}_A = -\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{H}}{\partial \psi^A} = \frac{1}{G} N a_0^{3/2} e^{T/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda(\lambda+\alpha)(\lambda+\beta)}} G\Big((3\lambda+\alpha+\beta)+6i(GQ)\Big)\pi_A (93)$$

Note that

$$\dot{Q} = \pi_A \dot{\psi}^A + \dot{\pi}_A \psi^A. \qquad (94)$$

Hence the following equation is implied by the equations of motion (93)

$$\dot{Q} = \frac{1}{G} N a_0^{3/2} e^{T/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda(\lambda+\alpha)(\lambda+\beta)}}$$
$$G\Big((3\lambda+\alpha+\beta)+6i(GQ)\Big)\Big((3\lambda+\alpha+\beta)(GQ)+3i(GQ)^2\Big), \qquad (95)$$

which features the time evolution of Q in the gravitational background labelled by α and β. Equation (84), (86), (89), (92) and (95) form a closed system and will form the subject of future study. The main physical content which we wanted to get out of the Hamilton's equations is a consistency check on the quantum dynamics. The check is that the gravitational variables (α, β) remain stationary in spite of the presence of the fermion, and appropriately label the quantum state.

6 Conclusion

In this paper we have demonstrated the existence of a well-defined Hilbert space for gravity coupled to fermions with a good semiclassical limit. This paper may be taken as a first step in the quantization of the Standard Model, taking gravity into account. The results of matter coupling appears to not be inconsistent with the instanton representation of gravity, at least in minisuperspace. A future step in this direction will be the inclusion of additional fields with the fermions, starting with the scalar field and moving on to include the Yang–Mills field. The ability to construct the analogous Hilbert space for all three fields combined should provide a starting point for the investigation of the Standard Model.

References

[1] Eyo Eyo Ita III ‘Instanton representation of Plebanski gravity: XX. Gravitational coherent states’ arXiv:gr-qc/0806.4180

[2] Abhay Ashtekar ‘New variables for gravity: Inclusion of matter’ Phys. Rev. D40, 2572-2587 (1989)

[3] Hideo Kodama ‘Specialization of Ashtekar’s Formalism to Bianchi Cosmology’ Prog. Theor. Phys. Vol. 80, No.6 (1998)